# Impact Analysis Report / RFC-Proposal

**Section 1: Meta-data**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RFC ID** | **RFC\_NCTS-P6\_0305** (UCCNCTSP6-293) |
| **Related Incident ID** | **N/A** |
| **RFC Initiator / Organization** | **NA-DE** |
| **CI** | **NCTS-P6 (DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 – CSE-v60.4.8)** |
| **Type of Change** | **Standard  Emergency** |
| **Nature of Change** | Justification for Evolutive   |  | | --- | |  | |
| **RFC Source** | |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Legal & Policy Change**  **Organisational Changes** | **Business Change**  **IT Change** | |
| **Review by Business User recommended?** | **Yes  No** |

***Change Summary***

|  |
| --- |
| **NCTS-P6 (DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 – CSE-v60.4.8):** **Several corrections based on feedback received during the external review of the DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 package.** |
| This RFC-Proposal documents the corrections prompted by review comments from NA-DE on the DDNTA 6.4.0-v1.02 package, as well as additional corrections identified throughout the review cycle. It documents any changes compared to DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00, which is not yet traced by RFC-List.42. |

**Section 2: Problem statement +**

**Section 3: Description of proposed solution (PART 1)**

|  |
| --- |
| As per **DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 based on CSE-v60.4.8,** the review identified several key categories of corrective issues, outlined as follows:   * Formatting/Optionality * Rules/Conditions/Guidelines * Appendix K * Main Document  1. **Optionality ‘D’ is applied but a Condition is missing.**     **Main Issue:**  The following messages include two Data Items and one Data Groups marked as 'D' (dependent) without any associated conditions:  From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 (CC028C):    From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02(CD165C):    From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 (CDA13D):     * The optionality should be **updated to ‘O’** in the **CC028C**, and **CD165C** messages, since no relevant condition applies, but it should be **updated to ‘R’** in message **CDA13D** (in alignment with CDA15D). * **After this correction is applied, DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00 shall be identical to DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00.**  1. **Cleaning the format of ‘Reference number UCR’ for the Common Domain messages.**   In the **CD001C**, **CD050C**, and **CD160C** messages, it is no more necessary to keep defined the Data Item ‘**Reference Number UCR**’ with format ‘**an..70**’. This format was deviating from the UCC DA Annex B to enable the upgrade of NCTS-P4 declaration during the NCTS P4/P5 Transition Period.    From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 (CD001C):     * The format for the **CD001C**, **CD050C** and **CD160C** messages should be updated to **‘an..35’** (after the end of the NCTS P4/P5 Transitional Period, no risk to see declarations with ‘an..70’). * **After this correction is applied, DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00 shall be identical to DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00.**  1. **In messages CD063C, CD094C, and CD152C, the optionality of specific Data Elements linked to B2101 is erroneously marked as ‘R’ in place of ‘O’.**   **Only after** the end of the NCTS P4/P5 Transitional Period, the **B2101 updates the optionality to ‘R’**. As a result, **B2101 should remain applicable to the Data Items (of the CD063C, CD094C, and CD152C messages), with their optionality updated to ‘O’**. This is because these messages are business continuity messages and they retain their BRTs from the NCTS P4/P5 Transitional Period. The main reason is that these messages may still be exchanged for open movements after the Transitional Period ends.  The messages & data element for which the optionality should be updated from ‘R’ to ‘O’ are:   * /CD063C/TransitOperation/recoveryCommunicationDate * /CD094C/CustomsOfficeOfDeparture * /CD152C/Recovery/text   From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02(CD063C):    From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 (CD094C):    From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02(CD152C):    The wording of the **B2101** is the following:     * The optionality ‘R’ should be updated to ‘O’ in the Data Elements mentioned above in messages CD063C, CD094C, and CD152C. * **After this correction is applied, DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00 shall not be identical to DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00 for those messages.**      1. **Correction of Appendix K to avoid i**nconsistent values of ‘Validated by Recipient’ **(**for B1820, C0343, and T1120**)** found **between** DDNTA**-**6.4.0-v1**.**02 **and** DDNTA**-**5.15.2-v2.00.     In **DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 Appendix K**, for **B1820**, **C0343**, and **T1120,** the value ‘Validated by Recipient’ is marked as ‘R’ or ‘SR’. However, this contradicts **DDNTA-5.15.2-v2.00 Appendix K**, where the value ‘Validated by Recipient’ is marked as ‘N’. These discrepancies are found in the following messages:   * **B1820:** * /CD003C/Consignment/HouseConsignment/ConsignmentItem/Consignee, * /CD038C/Consignment/HouseConsignment/ConsignmentItem/Consignee, * /CD115C/Consignment/HouseConsignment/ConsignmentItem/Consignee, * /CD165C/Consignment/HouseConsignment/ConsignmentItem/Consignee.      * **C0343:**   + /CD038C/Consignment/countryOfDestination,   + /CD038C/Consignment/HouseConsignment/countryOfDestination,   + /CD038C/Consignment/HouseConsignment/ConsignmentItem/countryOfDestination. * **T1120:**    + /CD906C/MESSAGE.Message identification,   + /CD917C/MESSAGE.Message identification.   **Extract from B1820 in DDNTA 6.4.0-v1.02 Appendix K**    **Extract from C0343 in DDNTA 6.4.0-v1.02 Appendix K**    **Extract from T1120 in DDNTA 6.4.0-v1.02 Appendix K**     * The value ‘Validated by Recipient’ should be updated to ‘N’. * **After this correction is applied, DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00 shall not be identical to DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00 for those R&C.**  1. **Corrections for B1203, C0909, G0002, G0137, G0716, G0991, R0448, and CL176 are needed in the DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02.**      * Issue in CD018C for **B1203**   In **DDNTA-5.15.2-v2.00**, Appendix Q2, **B1203** is applied in the **CD018C** on the Data Item **Consignment/HouseConsignment/ConsignmentItem/Packaging/shippingMarks**.  However, **B1203** is missing from the CD018C in the **DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 Appendix Q2**:  From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02(CD018C):    The wording of the **B1203** is the following:     * B1203 should be added to the CD018C on the Data Item **Consignment/HouseConsignment/ConsignmentItem/Packaging/shippingMarks** * **Issue in CD001C for C0909**   The condition **C0909** is erroneously attached to the message **CD001C** on the Data Group /**CD001C/Message**:  From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02(CD001C):      **Resolution:**  C0909 should be removed from the Data Group /CD001C/Message.   * **After this correction is applied, DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00 shall not be identical to DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00** (where similar issue exists in CD001D)**.** * **Issue in CD018C, CD038C, CD143C for G0002**   Guideline **G0002** has been mistakenly omitted from several Data Items in the **CD018C**, **CD038C**, and **CD143C** messages within **DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02**:   * /CD018C/Consignment/referenceNumberUCR, * /CD018C/Consignment/HouseConsignment/referenceNumberUCR, * /CD018C/Consignment/HouseConsignment/ConsignmentItem/referenceNumberUCR, * /CD038C/Consignment/Incident/TransportEquipment/containerIdentificationNumber and * /CD143C/Enquiry/returnCopyReturnedDate   The wording of the **G0002** is the following:    **Resolution:**  G0002 should be added to the above Data Items.   * **After this correction is applied, DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00 shall be identical to DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00.** * **Issue with G0137**   G0137 can be already removed from all messages of the DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02.   * **After this correction is applied, DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00 shall not be identical to DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00.** * **Issue with G0716**   The Guideline **G0716** is transitional and it should not be attached to the **CD001C, CD003C, CD038C, CD050C, CD115C, CD160C,** and **CD165C** messages on the Data Group: **RiskAnalysisIdentification/RiskAnalysis/RiskAnalysisResult**  From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02(CD050C):      **Resolution:**  G0716 should be completely removed from the **DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02**.   * **After this correction is applied, DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00 shall be identical to DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00.** * **Issue with G0991**   The Guideline **G0991** is transitional and it should not be attached to the **CC013C, CC015C, CC017C, CC029C, CC043C, CD001C, CD003C, CD038C, CD050C, CD115C, CD160C,** and **CD165C** messages on the Data Items **Consignment/HouseConsignment/PreviousDocument/type, Consignment/HouseConsignment/ConsignmentItem/PreviousDocument/type.**  From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02(CD050C):    **Resolution:**  G0991 should be completely removed from the **DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02**.   * **After this correction is applied, DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00 shall be identical to DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00.** * **Issue with R0448**   Based on the **DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02, Appendix Q2**, **R0448** remains incorrectly applicable to the Data Item: **/CD038C/Consignment/Incident/TransportEquipment/numberOfSeals**. The wording of **R0448** was updated in **RFC-List.42 (RFC\_NCTS-P6-0279)** to exclude the reference to the Data Item 'Number of seals' when the Data Group ‘Transport Equipment’ is recorded in the context of incident registration.  From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 (CD038C):      **Resolution:**  R0448 should be removed from the Data Item: **/CD038C/Consignment/Incident/TransportEquipment/numberOfSeals**.   * **After this correction is applied, DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00 shall not be identical to DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00.** * **Issue in CD027C and CD038C with CL176 vs. CL141**   In the **DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02, Appendix Q2**, the codelist **CL176** is incorrectly attached to the Data Item **/CustomsOfficeOfRequest/referenceNumber** in the **CD027C** and **CD038C** messages:  **Extract from messages CD027C, CD038C:**    In the **DDNTA-5.15.2-v2.00, Appendix Q2**, the codelist **CL141** is (correctly) attached to the Data Item **/CustomsOfficeOfRequest/referenceNumber** in the **CD027C** and **CD038C** messages. Therefore, codelist **CL141** should be also applied in the **CD027C** and **CD038C** messages of **DDNTA 6.4.0-v1.02** to ensure alignment.  **Resolution:**  In the messages **CD027C** and **CD038C,** the ‘CL176’ should be replaced by ‘CL141’ in the Data Item **/CustomsOfficeOfRequest/referenceNumber**.   * **After this correction is applied, DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00 shall not be identical to DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00.**  1. **Implementing RFC-List.41\_NCTS\_0273 in DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 - The Data Item ‘Reference number UCR’ needs to be added at all levels in the CC043C and CC044C messages.**   **The issue resolved in NCTS-P5 with** RFC-List.41\_NCTS\_0273 **also needs to be fixed in DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00.**  The Data Item **'Reference number UCR'** is applicable at the ‘Consignment’, ‘House Consignment’, and ‘Consignment Item’ levels in the **CD018C,** but it does not apply to the **CC043C** and **CC044C** messages.  **Resolution:**  The Data Item **‘Reference Number UCR’** should be incorporated into the Data Groups ‘Consignment’, ‘House Consignment’, and ‘Consignment Item’ within messages **CC043C** and **CC044C**, in alignment with the structure in the message **CD018C**.   * **After this correction is applied, DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00 shall not be identical to DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00.**  1. **R0093 should be re-applied in the Common Domain messages**   **Rule R0093 was mistakenly removed from the following messages and will be reintroduced in DDNTA 6.4.0-v2.00 in the data item Consignment/PreviousDocument/type in the following messages:**   * **/CD001C/Consignment/PreviousDocument/type** * **/CD003C/Consignment/PreviousDocument/type** * **/CD038C/Consignment/PreviousDocument/type** * **/CD050C/Consignment/PreviousDocument/type** * **/CD115C/Consignment/PreviousDocument/type and** * **/CC043C/Consignment/PreviousDocument/type**     The technical description of R0093 will be reworded as follows:  Technical Description: IF the last two characters of /\*/messageRecipient are in SET CL147 AND /\*/TransitOperation/security is in SET {1, 3}   THEN at least one iteration of /\*/Consignment/PreviousDocument/type must be EQUAL to 'N355' ELSE /\*/Consignment/PreviousDocument/type shall NOT be EQUAL to 'N355'    Functional Description:  IF the last two characters of <Message recipient> are in SET CL147 (CountryCustomsSecurityAgreementArea) AND <TRANSIT OPERATION.Security> is in SET {1, 3}  THEN at least one iteration of <CONSIGNMENT-PREVIOUS DOCUMENT.Type> must be EQUAL to 'N355'  ELSE <CONSIGNMENT-PREVIOUS DOCUMENT.Type> shall NOT be EQUAL to 'N355'   1. **Corrections & clarifications of the DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 Main Document.**  * In the section ‘**III.II.5.3.1.2 T-TRA-TRT-A-004-Control by Office of Transit with Passage Not Confirmed**’, the following highlighted part of the note needs to specify that it only applies in case of diversion at the Actual Office of Transit where the IE114/IE115 messages are exchanged (i.e. it is not relevant in case of IE050 exchanged):      * Similar action for the same note in the section ‘**III.VI.2 Office of Transit STD**’.   The text shall become:  *There is no guarantee valid in that country (i.e. EU MS or CTC), and therefore the goods are not authorised to enter the country (i.e. an ‘Anticipated Transit Record Request’ C\_ATR\_REQ (IE114) has been sent and a negative ‘Anticipated Transit Record Response’ C\_ATR\_RSP (IE115) has been received that contains the ATR rejection reason ‘7-Guarantee not valid’);*   * In the section ‘**III.II.5.5 Specific Scenarios for Incidents “En Route” (INC)**’, the final bullet (‘etc.’) needs to be removed, and a note will be added stating that this list of incidents is not exhaustive and is detailed that the exhaustive list is maintained in CS/RD2, in codelist CL019 (IncidentCode):      * In the section ‘**IV.III.1.1.1 Scenarios**’ at the table ‘*Table 19: Core Business scenarios related to Mandatory Existing processes (continuity)*’ the following indicated scenario is wrongly included in the L2 Scenario Group ‘T-TRA-DEP’ and shall be included in ‘T-TRA-DES’.      1. **Corrections of defects in External Domain messages**  * Issue with **CC004C, CC009C** and **CC928C** for **C0089.**   The condition **C0089** is not relevant for messages used only by Opt-Out NAs (the ‘security’ cannot be ‘1’ or ‘3’):  From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02:      **Resolution:**  In the messages **CC004C**, **CC009C,** and **CC928C,** the C0089 should be removed from the Data Item **/\*/HolderOfTheTransitProcedure/identificationNumber and the optionality of this Data Item should be updated from ‘D’ to ‘O’**.   * **After this correction is applied, DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00 shall not be identical to DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00.** * Issue with **CC026C** for **optionality of one Data Item.**   The optionality of **/CC026C/GuaranteeReference/AccessCode/accessCodeNew** in **DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02**. is incorrectly defined as ‘R’. It should be defined as ‘O’ (like in DDNTA-5.15.2-v2.00 and DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00)   * **After this correction is applied, DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00 shall be identical to DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00.**   From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 (CC026C)     * Issue with **CC034C** for **optionality of one Data Item.**   The optionality of **/CC034C/GuaranteeReference/AccessCode/accessCode** in **DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02**. is incorrectly defined as ‘O’. It should be defined as ‘R’ (like in DDNTA-5.15.2-v2.00 and DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00)   * **After this correction is applied, DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00 shall be identical to DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00.**   From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 (CC034C):     * Issue with **CC044C** for **optionality of the Data Group ‘Transport document’.**   The optionality of **/CC044C/Consignment/HouseConsignment/ConsignmentItem/TransportDocument** is wrongly defined as ‘R’ in the **DDNTA 6.4.0-v1.02** and it should be updated to ‘O’ to match with the optionality correctly defined in **DDNTA-5.15.2-v2.00** and in **DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00**.   * **After this correction is applied, DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00 shall be identical to DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00.**   From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 (CC044C):     * Issues with **CC056C** that should be corrected to align DDNTA 6.4.0-v1.02 with DDNTA 5.15.2-v2.00: * **TransitOperation/rejectionCode should not be dependent** with condition **C0091** that is not relevant for Opt-Out NAs:     Thus, it should be removed from the **CC056C** message, and consequently the **optionality of this Data Item should be updated to ‘R’**.  From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 (CC056C):     * **After this correction is applied, DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00 shall not be identical to DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00.** * **FunctionalError/originalAttributeValue should not be dependent** with condition **C0092** that is not relevant for Opt-Out NAs:     Thus, it should be removed from the **CC056C** message, and consequently the **optionality of the Data Item should be updated to ‘O’**.  From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 (CC056C):     * **After this correction is applied, DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00 shall not be identical to DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00.** * **FunctionalError/errorDescription:** This Data Item is redundant as explained by C0093:     Thus, the Data Item ‘Error description’ should be removed from CC056C (an kept in CC056D) (**CC056C** shall be aligned with **DDNTA-5.15.2-v2.00)**.  From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 (CC056C):     * Issues with **CC117D** and C0067:   From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02:    Even though, C0067 is attached to the Data Item /CC117D/Consignment/Carrier/SafetyAndSecurityIdentificationNumber,  Data item TransitOperation/security is not part of CC117D  From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 (CC117D):      Thus, C0067 should be removed from CC117D, and be replaced by a new condition, i.e. **C0075.**  According to the **DDCOM-Main Document-21.4.0 (section V.8.2)**, the 17th character of the MRN is filled in with an identifier of the procedure. The values related to the Security are the following:  L: Transit declaration and entry summary declaration  M: Transit declaration and exit summary declaration and entry summary declaration    The wording of the new condition C0075 shall be the following:  **Technical Description:**  **IF /\*/Consignment/Carrier/identificationNumber is NOT a valid EORI complying with the following**  **pattern: <xs:pattern value="[A-Z]{2}[\x21-\x7E]{1,15}"/>**  **AND /\*/TransitOperation/MRN 17th character is in SET {L, M}**  **THEN /\*/Consignment/Carrier/SafetyAndSecurityIdentificationNumber ="R"**  **ELSE /\*/Consignment/Carrier/SafetyAndSecurityIdentificationNumber ="N"**  **Functional Description:**  **IF <CONSIGNMENT-CARRIER.Identification number> is NOT a valid EORI complying with the**  **following pattern: <xs:pattern value="[A-Z]{2}[\x21-\x7E]{1,15}"/>**  **AND <TRANSIT OPERATION.MRN> 17th character is in SET {L, M} (L: Transit declaration with ENS, M: Transit declaration with ENS and EXS)**  **THEN <CONSIGNMENT-CARRIER-SAFETY AND SECURITY IDENTIFICATION NUMBER> ="R"**  **ELSE <CONSIGNMENT-CARRIER-SAFETY AND SECURITY IDENTIFICATION NUMBER> ="N"**  Appendix K for C0075 shall be:  Validation by Sender: !  Validation by Recipient: !   * **After this correction is applied, DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00 shall not be identical to DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00.** * Issue with **CC013C**:   The data item "GUARANTEE. Guarantee type" in CC013C should be updated from ‘Optional’ to ‘Required’ to align with the structure in CC015C where it is “Required”.  From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02(CC013C):    Thus, the optionality of the Data Element "GUARANTEE. Guarantee type" should be updated to ‘R’ in the CC013C.   * **After this correction is applied, DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00 shall not be identical to DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00.** * Issue with condition **C0685** applied on the **CC060C** and **CC060D** messages   The condition C0685 applies to the CC060C and CC060D messages. Thus, it needs to be corrected with a minor textual update by removing the CC060C from the message paths:  From DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02(CC060C):    However, if this change will be implemented then the condition C0685 will be identical with the condition C0467:    Hence, C0685 shall be removed from both attached messages (CC060D and CC060C) and to be replaced by C0467.  **Extract from CC060D:**  ---TRANSIT OPERATION  LRN D an..22 ~~C0685~~ **C0467**  MRN D an18 ~~C0685~~ **C0467**  G0002   * **After this correction is applied, DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00 shall not be identical to DDNTA-6.3.0-v1.00.** |

**Section 3: Description of proposed solution (PART 2)**

|  |
| --- |
| **IMPACT ASSESSMENT:**  This RFC-Proposal concerns several corrections following the comments received during the NPM review.  **Proposed** date of applicability in Operations (**T-Ops**):   Before the start of NCTS-P6 operations by each NA.  **Proposed** date of applicability in CT (**T-CT**):                     To be defined.  **Expected** date of approval by ECCG (**T-CAB**):                  Together with the approval of DDNTA-6.4.0-v2.00(SfA).  **Risk in case of non-implementation:**  Possible message rejections and blocked movements if the proposed changes are not applied.  **Impacted messages:**   * **External Domain:** CC004C, CC009C, CC026C, CC028C, CC034C, CC043C,CC044C, CC056C, CC060C, CC117D, CC928C * **Common Domain:** CD001C, CD002C, CD003C, CD006C, CD010C, CD018C, CD024C, CD027C, CD038C, CD049C, CD050C, CD059C, CD063C, CD070C, CD071C, CD078C, CD094C, CD095C, CD114C, CD115C, CD118C, CD142C, CD143C, CD144C, CD145C, CD150C, CD151C, CD152C, CD160C, CD164C, CD165C, CD168C, CD180C, CD181C, CD200C, CD201C, CD203C, CD204C, CD205C, CD209C, CD411D, CD903D, CD906C, CD906D, CD917D, CD917C, CD971C, CD974C, CD975C, CDA13D, and CDA15D   **Impacted Rules, Conditions & BRTs etc.:**   * B1203, B1820, B2101, C0089, C0091,C0092, C0343, C0909, G0002, G0137, G0716, G0991, CL141, CL176   **Impacted CI Artefacts:**   * **DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 (Main Document): Yes.** * Functional Specifications NCTS-P6 (FSS/BPM): 8.0: No. * **CSE-v60.4.8:** **Yes.** * **DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 (Appendices ‘D’, ‘K’, ‘P’, ‘X’ and ‘Q2’): Yes.** * NCTS-P6 DMP-6.4.0-v1.00 Package: No. * CTP-6.3.0-v1.00: No. * **TRP-6.3.0-v1.00: Yes.** * DDCOM-21.4.0-v1.00: No. * ieCA/TED 2.0.0.1: No. * **ICS2-CR-CTS-1.2.0-v1.00: Yes.** * **ICS2-CR-CRP-1.2.0-v1.00: Yes.** * CS/MIS2\_DATA: No. * CS/RD2\_DATA: No. * UCC IA/DA Annex B: No. |

**Impact on CI artefacts**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 (Main Document)** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **Several updates in the Main Document, as described in section 3.** | |
| **CSE-v60.4.8** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **Several updates in CSE, as described in section 3.** | |
| **DDNTA-6.4.0-v1.02 (Appendices ‘D’, ‘K’, ‘P’, ‘X’ and ‘Q2’)** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High   |  | | --- | | **Several updates in the Appendices, as described in section 3.** | |
| **TRP-6.3.0-v1.00** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | **Update TC (Partially Passed or Failed if NA not aligned):** | **No** |  | | **Deleted Test Cases:** | **No** |  | | **New Test Cases:** | **No** |  | | **Updated Drools:** | **No** |  | | **Other:** | **Yes** | **XSD updates**  **Reference Data**  **Rules mapping** | | |
| **ICS2-CR-CTS-1.2.0-v1.00** | Cosmetic Low  Medium  High  Very High   |  | | --- | | Updated XSDs to be included in CTS Package. | |
| **ICS2-CR-CRP-1.2.0-v1.00** | Cosmetic Low  Medium  High  Very High   |  | | --- | | Updated CTS packages will be incorporated in the new CRP. | |

**Estimated impact on National Project**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| None Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | Low/Medium impact. To be further assessed by each NA. | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Document History** | | | |
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